

## **Perception Survey** *Government Agencies*

			1. PROFILE								
Name: Organization:											
1a. Please indicate which <b>type of</b> institution, agency or <b>organization</b> you represent.											
International develop organization (NGO or consulting firm)			sector commercial er marily in developmer	-	Government	0					
Local development organization (NGO or consulting firm)	0	Donor		0	Other:	0					
1b. Which of the following <b>functions</b> best represents your current role in the organization?											
3			al staff lly not in the field)	0	Support staff (Withou a technical focus)	t O					
Project management level management)	(Mid- O	Technica	al field staff	0	Other:	0					
2a. Have you heard of If 'No,' skip to section 2b. How would you do	າ 3.	-			o ket development appro	pach?					
Slightly aware	Somewha		Moderately		aware	, dell'					
0	0		aware O		0						
2c. How would you describe your level o		lovel of a									
2c. now would you d	escribe your	ievei oi a	wareness <u>one year a</u>	<u>igo</u> r							
Not at all aware Slightly aware		are S	Somewhat aware	Moder awa	•	are					
0	0		0		0	0					
2d. How would you describe your <u>knowledge</u> or understanding of the pro-poor market development approach?											
Little knowledge	Some kno	wledge	Good knowledge		/ good						
0	0		0	Knov	vledge O						
2e. How would you d No knowledge	escribe your Little knowle		ge <u>one year ago</u> ? Some knowledge	Good kno	owledge Very goo knowled						
0	0	0		0							



2f. In your opinion, wh Please provide up to fi		<u>characteristics</u> of the pr	o-poor market de	velopment approach?
a				
b				
C				
d				
e				
=	•	nd knowledge of the pro-	•	elopment approach?
2h. To what degree do	you have a favora	ble view of the pro-poor	market developn	nent approach?
Not at all favorable	Unfavorable	No opinion	Favorable	Highly favorable
0	0	0	0	0
Explain why?				
2i. How likely are you t next year?	to implement/cont	inue pro-poor market de	evelopment appro	each in your agency <u>in the</u>
No at all likely	Not likely	Somewhat likely	Very likely	
0	0	0	0	
Explain why?				_
	3. ST	RATEGIES AND APPI	ROACHES	
	3. 1.	POLICIES AND LEGIS	SLATION	
	h (i.e. activities tha			c) that pro-poor market s of markets while ultimatel
a				
b				
C.				



	or opinion which regulatory mechanisms would support pro-poor marke es that support the growth and competitiveness of markets while ultim market)?	
a.		
b.		
C.		
approach (	are the <u>existing programmes/activities that could strengthen</u> that pro-page.e. activities that support the growth and competitiveness of markets within the market)?	
a.		
b.		
C.		

## 3.2. INTERVENTION APPROACHES

3.2.a. Which of the following statements <u>best</u> describes the approach your agency uses to improve the situation of small farmers or enterprises? In answering, a '1' and a '2' indicate 'strong agreement' or 'agreement, respectively, with the statement on the left; a '5' and '4' indicate 'strong agreement' or 'agreement,' respectively, with the statement on the right; and a '3' indicates that you are unsure.

If you do not know or do not understand the question, please leave it blank.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Interventions invest time analyzing						Interventions spend their time analyzing
market and market constraints						challenges faced by beneficiaries, such
						as farmers or enterprises
Interventions focus on addressing the						Interventions focus on addressing the
underlying root causes of challenges in						resulting issues caused by challenges in
the market						the market
Interventions seek to improve the way						Interventions address specific problems
broader market systems function						of market system
Interventions seek to work through other						Interventions seek to cause change
market actors to facilitate change						directly (through direct activities from
(indirectly benefitting the beneficiaries)						the project with the beneficiaries)
Interventions focus on supply of and						Interventions focus on the supply of
demand for goods and services produced						goods and services produced by small
by small farmers and enterprises						farmers and enterprises
Interventions work through other market						Interventions works directly with small
actors, such as input suppliers, buyers,						farmers and enterprises
service providers, and associations to						
reach out small farmers and enterprises						
Interventions seek to facilitate the entry						Interventions focus on existing market
of new actors into the market (which may						actors
already exist bot not operate in the						



smallholder market)			
Interventions seek to achieve broad change beyond primary beneficiaries			Interventions seek to achieve change among primary beneficiaries
Interventions work with multiple market actors representing different functions in			Interventions work with specific market actors in the value chain
Interventions seek to link small farmers and enterprises with other market actors to provide goods and services on a commercial basis			Interventions provide goods and services directly to small farmers and enterprises or through other market actors on a non-commercial basis
Interventions seek to change the nature of relationships between different market actors			Interventions take existing market relationships as a given and seek to work within them
Interventions work in the background and farmers or small enterprises are unaware of the work we do			Interventions work in the limelight and farmers or small enterprises are well aware of the work we do
Interventions have an exit strategy on when and how to phase out individual activities within interventions			Interventions have an exit strategy for when funding ends at the end of the intervention
Interventions measure changes beyond our direct activities			Interventions measure changes as a result of our direct activities only
We measure impacts at wider market level including primary beneficiaries			We measure impacts at primary beneficiaries level

3.2.b On a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 equals 'not all effective' and 5 equals 'very effective,' how effective do you personally think each of the following is as an approach to improve the situation of small farmers or enterprises?

	1=Not at all effective	2=Not effective	3=Not sure	4=Effective	5=Very effective
Government builds capacity e.g. training to small farmers/enterprises	0	0	0	0	0
Government provides services (e.g., information, loans, business development assistance, etc.) to small farmer or enterprises at no or subsidized cost	0	0	0	0	0
Government provides productive assets (e.g., land, equipment, tools, etc.) to small farmers or enterprises at no or subsidized cost	0	0	0	0	0
Government provides production inputs (e.g., seeds, chemicals, feed, root stock, etc.) to small farmers or enterprises at no or subsidized cost	0	0	0	0	0
Government purchases goods and services from small farmers/enterprises	0	0	0	0	0
Government works through other market actors (e.g., input suppliers, services providers, buyers, associations, etc.) to provide training to small farmers or enterprises on a commercial basis	0	0	0	0	0
Government works through other market actors to provide productive assets to small farmers or enterprises on a commercial basis	0	0	0	0	0
Government works through other market actors to provide production inputs to small farmers or enterprises on a commercial basis	0	0	0	0	0
Government facilitates new and/or improved commercial relationships between small farmers/enterprises and buyers	0	0	0	0	0

## 4 MEASURING SUCCESS



4b. Where it comes to improving the situation of small farmers and enterprises, how sustainability?	does your agency <u>define</u>
a	
b	
C	
4c. Which withdrawal mechanisms does your agency adopt or plan to adopt for achie	eving sustainability?
a	
b	
C	
5. BEHAVIOUR CHANGE	
5a. Has your agency changed the approaches that you use to improve the situation o enterprises or market actors you work with <a href="mailto:in-the-past-year">in-the-past-year</a> ? Yes No	f small farmers or
5b. If 'Yes', what changes did you make? Please provide up to three changes.	
a	
b	
C	
5c. What are the reasons for these changes? Please indicate all that apply.	
Donors or other stakeholders requested the change	0
Budget allocation was tied to adoption of the approach	0
Reflected broader change in our government strategy	0
Followed recommendations from an external review or evaluation	0
Followed recommendations from internal research/review	0
What we were doing wasn't working, so we wanted to do something different	0
Something we read or heard (e.g., secondary research, conference participation,	0
participation in training, etc.) convinced us this was a better approach	
Other (specify)	0
Other (specify)	0
Other (specify)	